NATIONAL CENTER FOR APPLIED TRANSIT TECHNOLOGY

The Flavors of Microtransit



NATIONAL CENTER FOR APPLIED TRANSIT TECHNOLOGY

- Walking small agencies through the technology landscape
- Producing resources on adopting emerging technologies
 - Zero-emission vehicles, green infrastructure, data management, new software decisionmaking
 - Lessons learned, trends, strategies
- Providing in-depth technical assistance to adopting new technologies
 - Strike Teams and State Summits
 - Enabling technology transfer
- Developing hands-on workshops to understand how different technologies can be applied
 - Data Management, Digital Tools for Redesigns

Find us at: n-catt.org



TACL: THE TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COORDINATION LIBRARY



http://transportation-tacl.org

The Transportation Technical Assistance Coordination Library (TACL) provides a sustainable methodology and platform for access and findability of coordination resources across a diverse range of transportation technical assistance centers and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

The FTA-funded technical assistance centers participating in this ongoing work with links to their coordination resources are:

- National Aging and Disability Transportation Center (NADTC)
- National Center for Applied Transit Technology (N-CATT)
- National Center for Mobility Management (NCMM)
- National Rural Transit Assistance Program (National RTAP)
- <u>Shared-Use Mobility Center (SUMC)</u>

N-CATT STAFF



Andrew Carpenter Director carpenter@ctaa.org



Marcela Moreno Transit Technologist moreno@ctaa.org

FTA ROUTE PLANNING & RESTORATION PROGRAM

- Under FTA's Route Planning Restoration Program, funding can be used for transit route restoration planning that is designed to examine ridership following the COVID-19 pandemic, reduce travel times and make adjustments to increase the quality or frequency of transit service for low-income riders and those in disadvantaged neighborhoods or communities who may need increased service to get to jobs, shopping and health care.
- NOFO: <u>https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/09/14/2021-19735/fiscal-year-2021-competitive-funding-opportunity-route-planning-restoration-program</u>
- Route Planning Restoration Program page: https://www.transit.dot.gov/funding/route-planning-restorationprogram

Aligning Microtransit Design with Agency Goals/Use Cases





Decisions to Help Identify Appropriate Flavors of Microtransit

Zone Definition

Operational/Modal Options

Complement or Replace Other Modes

Contract vs. Direct Operations

Number of Vehicles/Maximum Wait Time







Flavors of Microtransit: Sacramento's SmaRT Ride

Community Transportation Association of America September 28, 2021

> James Drake | Principal Planner jdrake@sacrt.com | 916-556-0505

Regional Transit

App Appearance









Regional Transit



Smart Ride Overview

- Launched February 2018, replacing former CityRide demand response service (established 2012)
- Directly operated by SacRT, originally using Transloc software, using Via since January 2020
- \$12 million 3-year grant from Sacramento
 Transportation Authority for operations through 6/30/21
- \$2 million extension from STA funds program through 6/30/23
- Capital costs born by SacRT for fleet of 32 buses
- Service typically runs Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 7:00 pm



Dispatch





Stop Placement



品

0

Modal Comparisons

Year Ended 6/30/20

	Smart Ride (Via)	Smart Ride (Transloc)	SacRT Go ADA Paratransit	Folsom Dial-a-Ride	SacRT Fixed-Route Bus
Boardings Per Revenue Hour	2.8	3.4	1.6	2.1	16.2
Average Trip Length	2.7 mi	3.8 mi	8.1 mi	3.5 mi	3.6 mi
Average Passenger Load	0.63	0.95	0.95	0.75	5.2
Average Wait Time	20.4 min	19.1 min	n/a	n/a	n/a
Cost Per Passenger	\$39.40	\$32.45	n/a	\$62.63	\$7.22

Productivity of Smart Ride decreased and cost per passenger increased in 2020 (when Via became service provider) primarily because of the addition of new lower-productivity zones in Gerber, Arden, Carmichael, North Sacramento, and Folsom.

Revenue Hours are per NTD definitions and include time from first actual pick-up to last actual drop-off, minus actual break time. Cost is based on SacRT cost allocation for direct costs (both fixed and variable) and excludes indirect (general administration) costs.



Citrus Heights, Antelope, Orangevale

		A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	Î.	J	ĸ	L	M	N
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,90 <mark>0</mark>	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39%	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6%	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6 %	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9 %	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207



- Replaced traditional dial-a-ride
- Largest zone, long average trip length
- Allows curb-to-curb
- High productivity
- Highest wait times



Franklin

		A	В	C	D	E	E	1 2 G 1	H	T	J	K	E	м	N	Riego Roseville
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000	Scramento International Airport @ Elverta Antelope Citrus Heights Laker Oak
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,900	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196	Rio Linda North 1 Highlands 😇 Orangevale Folsom
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240	Beatrice A ADETHING TO THE ACTIONAL ACT
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81	Carrichael
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265	Concernent Concernent
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39%	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686	Longo Hills
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191	Arcade Viewerd 1/4/19 Arcade Viewerd 1/4/19 Arcade Viewerd 1/4/19
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6%	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135	Preeport NORTH CALL OF THE CAL
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6%	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80	Clarksburg Laguna Elk Grove Wilton 8. Folsom 1/6/20 9. Elk Grove 7/1/1
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9%	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22	Frankin Frankin
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207	Partnership with local business districtOverlaps with fixed route

 Still provides first/last mile to inaccessible neighborhods Rock



Gerber

		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	Ĩ	J.	ĸ	Ĺ	М	N	Riego Roseville Roseville
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000	Sacramento International Airport © Elverta Artelope Citrus Heights Lakering Oaks
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,900	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196	Piotinda North Highlands - Orangevale Folsom
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240	Beatrice NOBTH NATIONAL SACTAMENTO TO FAIL ON THE SACTAMENTO TO THE SACTAMENTO
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81	Ardén-Arcade Construction
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265	Canopara Sacraner Canopara
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39 %	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686	Lerion Hile () Lerion
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191	Arcade Antware 3 Antware 4
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6%	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135	Freeport 9 5. Downtown/CSUS 1/6/2 6. Natomas/North Sac 1/6/2
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6%	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80	Clarksburg Laguna Elk Grove Wilton 7. Arden/Carmichael 1/6/20 B. Folsom 1/6/20 B. Elk Grove 7/101
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9 %	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22	Vennal (19) Franklin
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207	 Created as part of new bus network project Replaced low-ridership fixed-route

Original zone was too small ٠

Summit Village A Lakeridge Oaks El Dorad Hills

White Rock

Expanded zone ~3x in 2021 ٠

Rancho Cordova

		Α	В	C	D	E (F	G	Н	<u>i</u>	Ĵ.	K	Ĺ	M	N
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,900	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39%	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6%	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6%	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9 %	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207



- Smallest zone
- Good mix of housing and jobs
- Need for short-distance internal circulation
- Low average trip length
- Good productivity

Downtown, East Sacramento, CSUS

		A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	Î	J	K	Ĺ	м	N
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,900	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39%	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6%	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6%	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9 %	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207



- Highest job density
- Highest percentage of car-free households
- Highest boardings per capita
- High productivity
- Lowest average trip length
- Low wait times



Natomas/North Sacramento

		A	В	С	D	E 1	F	G	Н	L. L.	J	K	L	M	N
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,900	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39%	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6 %	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6%	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9 %	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207



- Originally too small, low density
- Expanded twice, now possibly oversized
- High average trip length

Arden/Carmichael

		Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	Î	Ĵ.	ĸ	Ĺ	М	N
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,90 <mark>0</mark>	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39%	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6%	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6 %	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9 %	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207



- Originally two zones
- Combined into one zone in 2021
- Doubled productivity
- Low density, low poverty
- Insufficient demand for fixed-route service



Folsom

		Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	Ĺ	м	N	Riego
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000	Sacramento International Anteriope Citrus Heights Cake
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,900	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196	esel
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240	Beatrice Root H AT TOKAL SACRANE NO TO FAIL ON A LOGIC Creek Minutes Control of Control
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81	Comichael Comichael Rardoo Cordova
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265	Canopyers
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39%	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686	Lerron Hils
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191	Arcade Annual Content
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6%	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135	Proeport Version 9 5. Downtown/CSUS 1/6/20 6. Natomas/North Sac 1/6/20
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6%	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80	Clarksburg Laguna Elk Grove Wilton 7. Arden / Carmichael 1/6/20 8. Folsom 1/6/20 9. Elk Grove 7/1/21
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9 %	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22	Prankin Prankin
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207	Large area, low densityLow poverty, high automobile ownership

Expanded to cover newly incorporated area in ٠ 2021



Elk Grove

		A	В	C	D	E (F	G	Н	Î.	L I	K	Ĺ	М	N	Riego
	Zone	SqMi	Population	Jobs	Minority	Poverty	Car Free	Population Per SqMi	Jobs Per SqMi	Boardings Per Day	Rev Hours Per Day	Boardings Per RVH	Pickup ETA	Ride Distance	Boardings Per 1,000	Sacramento International Airport @ Elverta Antelope Citrus Heights Dake
1	Citrus Heights	35.9	203,000	35,900	34%	11%	5%	5,655	1,000	157	63.3	2.5	32.6	3.5	196	esel
2	Franklin	14.0	105,800	22,800	86%	23%	11%	7,557	1,629	100	32.7	3.1	27.3	2.5	240	Beatrice A ADETHING TO THE ACTIONAL ACT
3	Gerber	10.0	52,600	15,100	83%	20%	6%	5,260	1,510	17	16.3	1.0	13.4	2.8	81	Carrichael Rarden Arcade Socremente Carrichael Carrichael
4	Rancho Cordova	6.9	43,100	15,000	51%	16%	8%	6,246	2,174	45	16.3	2.8	24.7	2.1	265	Concernent La Rivers
5	Downtown/CSUS	7.7	52,300	125,000	39%	15%	17%	6,792	16,234	141	57.2	2.5	14.8	1.9	686	Lemon Hills (6) 2 Cones 1. Citrus Heights 2/12/18 2. Comparison of the second
6	Natomas/North Sac	15.1	72,200	32,400	71%	12%	4%	4,781	2,146	54	32.6	1.7	16.2	3.1	191	Arcade
7	Arden/Carmichael	15.0	72,900	21,800	30%	13%	6%	4,860	1,453	39	16.3	2.4	21.1	3.0	135	Freeport NORTH LATING 9 5. Downtown/CSUS 1/6/20 6. Natomas/North Sac 1/6
8	Folsom	27.9	76,100	37,400	38%	6%	4%	2,728	1,341	24	16.3	1.5	24.0	2.6	80	Clarksburg Laguna Elk Grove Wilton 8. Folsom 1/6/20 9. Elk Grove 7/1/21
9	Elk Grove	19.0	33,900	3,800	65%	9 %	3%	1,784	200	3	16.3	0.2	10.4	2.7	22	Franklin
	TOTAL	151.5	711,900	309,200	52%	14%	7%	4,699	2,041	580	267.3	2.2	23.4	2.7	207	 Emphasis on non-redundancy with fixed-route Covers large unserved area

- Large zone, but lowest population and jobs
- Lowest density, high automobile ownership



Discussion | Lessons Learned

- Book-in-advance vs on-demand
- Fare structure right price vs simplicity
- Expansion and zone design start small
- NTD reporting collect data manually
- Productivity vs fixed-route
- Coverage of low-demand areas
- Great for building public support
- Weekend/evening service economies of scale
- Grant competitiveness no consultants







Thank you!

James Drake | Principal Planner jdrake@sacrt.com | 916-556-0505



Microtransit in Des Moines

Luis Montoya, Chief Planning Officer

Des Moines Area Regional Transit Authority (DART)

Addressing Mobility Needs

- Replace underperforming bus routes
- Extend hours of service
- Provide service to more places
- Increase frequency of service/shorten travel times
- Service within and between communities, not just to downtown



Expanding Mobility Options









On-Demand Services

Microtransit

- On-demand, DART-branded vehicles and operators
- Curb to curb (or door)
- ▷ 2 pilot communities
- Flex Connect
 - On-demand, Uber and local taxi company
 - First-mile/last-mile connection to bus stops with select zones
 - \triangleright 1st pilot in 2019, others identified







Ankeny Microtransit Pilot

The Goal

- Provide more **flexible**, **customer-friendly local circulation** in Ankeny
- Pilot project
 - Upgrade existing dial-a-ride service to Microtransit, expand hours

Rider Impacts

- Minimal change for existing customers
- Opportunity to attract new riders
- Longer span will allow transfers to fixed route buses
- Some paratransit customers may choose to use microtransit
- Cost Impacts
 - Microtransit platform
 - 14 hours daily
 - Administrative staff time



Flex Connect Urbandale/Grimes

- <u>The Goal</u>
 - Provide cost-effective **first/last mile connection to employment** areas

<u>Pilot Project</u>

- Replace Route 74 with a new Flex Connect Zone
- Operated by Uber and YellowCab
- Trips must start or end at select bus stops



<u>Cost Impacts</u>

 Cost-neutral to serve existing Route 74 demand + up to 50% increase in demand

THANK YOU!

- Andrew Carpenter, carpenter@ctaa.org
- Jill Cahoon, jill.cahoon@aecom.com
- James Drake, jdrake@sacrt.com
- Luis Montoya, Imontoya@ridedart.com
 - www.ridedart.com/future